Dispositions assessment in teacher education: developing an assessment instrument for the college classroom and the field

Gina Almerico The University of Tampa

Pattie Johnston The University of Tampa

Deanna Henriott
The University of Tampa

Mykel Shapiro The University of Tampa

Abstract

The purpose of the current study was to operationalize and validate terminology to be incorporated into a series of assessment tools to evaluate teacher candidate dispositions. Researchers strived to develop a greater understanding of dispositions being assessed in teacher education programs by identifying descriptors which clearly focus on the conceptual meaning of a given disposition. Through a search of related literature and a series of interviews conducted by the research team, a series of instruments was developed to measure candidate dispositions in the university classroom setting and in the field/clinical experience for teachers in training.

Introduction

A fundamental task of colleges and departments of teacher education is that of tracking, monitoring, and assessing candidate performance through their program. In recent years, in part due to external accreditation requirements, teacher education programs have been charged with the responsibility of assessing more than their candidates knowledge and skills in teaching. The National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) accreditation process as well as that of other professional organizations requires teacher preparation programs to develop appropriate assessment devices to measure and document candidate dispositions. Because of this requirement, teacher education programs are exploring what is meant by dispositions and investigating how they can be used and assessed.

Defining Dispositions

Dispositions related to effective teaching have been defined in a number of ways over the years. The National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) (2001) provides the following explanation of dispositions: dispositions are the values, commitments, and professional ethics that influence behaviors toward students, families, colleagues, and communities that affect student learning, motivation, and development as well as the educator's own professional growth. Dispositions, according to the NCATE, are steered by attitudes and beliefs related to values like caring, honesty, fairness, empathy respectfulness, responsibility, and thoughtfulness. NCATE, however, does not specifically define what elements compose target dispositions.

The Interstate New Teachers Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC) (1992) uses the following descriptors to encompass the concept of dispositions: adopts, appreciates, believes, is committed, has enthusiasm, persists, realizes, recognizes, responds, seeks, is sensitive to, understands, and values. Taylor & Wasicsko (2000) define dispositions as the personal qualities or characteristics that are possessed by individuals, including attitudes, beliefs, interests, appreciations, values, and modes of adjustments. Schulte, Edick, Edwards, and Mackiel (2004) define disposition as a pattern of behavior exhibited frequently and in the absence of coercion, and constituting a habit of mind under some conscious and voluntary control, and that is intentional and oriented to broad goals.

Why Assess Dispositions

The importance of disposition assessment was stated by Broko, Liston, & Whitcomb (2007). They explain that dispositions are an individual's tendencies to act in a given manner and are predictive of patterns of action. They answer the question of whether teachers are likely to apply the knowledge and skills they learn in teacher preparation programs to their own classroom teaching when they are not being critiqued. One of the most difficult situations faced by teacher educators, according to Schulte, Edick, Edwards, and Mackiel (2004) is coming across teacher candidates who meet the requirements of content knowledge and pedagogical skills, yet lack the dispositions essential to effective teaching. A teacher with the knowledge and skills to teach a particular content in particular ways is necessary but the possession of these knowledge and skills does not guarantee successful instructional implementation in the classroom. The manner in which the teachers' knowledge in shared with students, the way in which student learning is

facilitated or guided in an educational setting speaks to the importance of dispositions assessment. To gain the whole picture of a candidates teaching effectiveness, one must consider all aspects of the teaching act.

Educators have learned through the research that a strong correlation exists between the dispositions of teachers and the quality of their students' learning (Notar, Riley, Taylor, Thornburg & Cargill 2009). Teachers who care about their students' academic achievements, those who are willing to exert the effort needed to ensure the classroom is a productive learning environment, have characteristics that may not be measured as possession of pedagogical knowledge and skills. These are teachers, who are through their actions and demeanor, are demonstrating effective teaching dispositions.

Rike (2008) identifies the following purposes for disposition assessment in teacher education programs:

- Faculty need a way to clearly communicate to teacher candidates the expectations for their dispositions and the means of assessment,
- Identifying and measuring dispositions is a professional obligations in preservice teacher education,
- Creating and using a research-based document to measure dispositions ensures consistency and limits subjectivity on the part of the evaluator;
- Preservice teachers need to be aware that who they are and what they believe will have a long-term effect on their careers and the students they teach.

Additional reasons for assessing teacher candidates' dispositions include the following:

- Research (Wayda and Lund, 2005) indicates preservice teachers should know what is expected of them regarding dispositions while enrolled in teacher education programs and how those dispositions will be assessed,
- National exams and state licensure programs stress appropriate dispositions as being as important to effective teaching as knowledge and skills,
- Preservice teachers need to know that dispositions are as important as knowledge and skills in becoming an effective teacher (Taylor & Wasicsko, 2000),
- Teacher candidates should begin to think of themselves as "Teachers" while in training and must be aware their dispositions will be monitored and assessed throughout the program;
- NCATE and other accrediting agencies require teacher education programs to monitor and assess candidate dispositions.

In addition, faculty in the education department in this study identified the need and wanted to develop a research-based instrument which could be used to relay to education majors the department's expectations for their dispositions in both the university classroom and in the field. The disposition assessment developed will be used to track and monitor the student dispositions as they move through the programs. Documented evidence of problematic dispositions of preservice teachers can provide the strategic advantage of an early warning system and may expedite the course of change needed to address problems associated with inappropriate attitudes and actions (Dee & Henkin 2002).

Developing a Disposition Assessment Instrument

The feat faced by teacher educators in assessing preservice teacher dispositions is that of developing an operational definition which clearly describes what is meant by each dispositional descriptive verb. Taylor and Wasicsko (2000) challenged researchers to define "dispositions", review the research base, and find or create appropriate instruments to measure dispositions. To address this challenge, the authors of this study developed a set of questions to further clarify their task. The following questions were asked:

- "How do a student's dispositions affect his/her performance in a classroom setting both as a student and as a teacher?"
- "Can we predict which students will be effective teachers based on their dispositional behaviors exhibited in college classrooms?"
- "How can we communicate the intent of a given disposition so that evaluators/evaluatees understand it in a meaningful manner?" Consistency in the assessment of dispositions is vital. The assessor and the person being assessed must be aware of and understand the criteria that will be used in the evaluation process.
- "How can we operationally define dispositions so that when measured they provide a basis for pinpointing effective versus ineffective classroom behaviors (both as students in college classrooms and as teachers)?"
- "What does the research say about dispositions and how they impact a person's ability to be an effective teacher?"

Notar, Riley, Taylor, Thornburg & Cargill (2009) suggest an effective means for assessing dispositions can include the use of rubrics, rating scales, self-reflection evaluations and/or checklists. Researchers began to explore how other teacher education programs across the nation were assessing dispositions and found solid examples in the following institutions:

The work of Taylor & Wasicsko (2000) lead to the creation of a disposition assessment tool which is being used in the teacher education program at Eastern Kentucky University. The instrument contains 12 dispositions which are used to assess pre-service candidates in the college classroom and in the field/clinical experience. The dispositions are assessed indirectly, based on candidates' observable behavior in educational settings. Indicators of disposition embedded in their evaluation tool includes the following: use of systematic instruction techniques, high expectation of students and themselves, willingness to tailor teaching to students' needs, belief in their own efficacy, caring, concerned with perceptual meanings rather than facts and events, comfortable interactions with others, good management skills, flexibility, and imagination. Other indicators found to be important are clear standards for classroom behavior, provided feedback, uses a variety of assessment strategies, positive interactions with students, involved in continuous learning, compassion, respect of self and others, and empathetic.

The Early Childhood Education and Behaviors Checklist developed by Rike and Sharp (2008) is used at the University of Memphis to assess candidates dispositions. The checklist is comprised of four parts: class behaviors, practicum behaviors, communication skills, and general dispositions. The list of dispositions was developed by asking 125 elementary principals to rank the nine teacher dispositions they felt were most important out of 18. The list was narrowed down to 12 dispositions which include the following: adjusts or revises lessons to meet student needs and/or changing circumstances, has passion for teaching and demonstrates enthusiasm for working with children, is committed to ensuring all children have the opportunity to achieve to the best of their potential, demonstrates accountability for their students learning and

development, treats all students equally and fairly, while respecting individual differences, works professionally with colleagues, peers, parents, and community agencies, appreciates and values human diversity, realizes learning is an ongoing process and is committed to reflection, demonstrates commitment to the development of the whole child, persists in helping children become successful, lifelong learners, recognize the value of intrinsic motivation, and demonstrates integrity and honesty and meets ethical expectations.

The College of Education and Professional Ethics at Jacksonville State University developed a disposition assessment instrument to evaluate preservice teacher dispositions (Notar, Riley, Taylor, Thornburg & Cargill 2009) The following dispositions are included for measurement: attendance/punctuality, timeliness with assignments, appearance, poise, attitude, initiative, responsiveness to feedback, and rapport.

Arkansas State University has developed the Teacher Dispositions Form (Stewart & Davis 2009) which includes detailed criteria across eight major disposition descriptors. In this institution dispositions are defined as character and personality traits that are considered necessary for a person to succeed as a teacher. The traits include areas of responsibility, dependability, creativity, empathy, professionalism, and a commitment to lifelong learning.

Item Development

The creation of the Disposition Assessment Instrument required an analysis of the current research, the development of a questionnaire to refine an operational definition of disposition indicators, faculty buy in and input, student input, and discussion with the researchers/investigators and education faculty.

The purpose of current study was to operationalize the validated indicators to get a better look at what was meant by each indicator and to create an instrument to assess student dispositions in the college classroom and in the teaching arena/field.

Researchers developed items by reviewing the preceding instruments which were developed to specifically assess dispositions of preservice teachers. Then members of the research team, which includes two professors and two undergraduate teacher candidates, combined indicators from all those listed in the preceding disposition tools and eliminated indicators that overlapped.

The research team then created a dispositions questionnaire to administer to students, cooperating teachers, principals, university supervisors, and professors in an attempt to more clearly define validated indicators of dispositions for preservice teachers in both the university classroom setting and in the field. The intent was to create a disposition instrument based on the information gathered during the interviews. Through the interviews, the research team complied a complete idea of what each indicator means to concerned stakeholders so that inter rater interpretation and reliability is better assured. The indicators themselves have already been validated by previous studies cited. The research team wanted to construct through the interviews a better understanding of what each indicator "looks like" is it respective setting.

Student researchers conducted the interviews and were given three rules: to conduct the interviews only with the interviewee present; to ask interviewees to think about situations in which they witnessed a given disposition with corresponding indicators enacted correctly/well or incorrectly/poorly; to prompt the interviewee with questions about a given disposition if they seemed unsure of its meaning or failed to provide a sufficient amount of information.

The lead professor disseminated an email to fellow education professors informing them about the research the team was conducting and asking them to email their availability for

interviews. The university professors were also asked to provide names of students, principals, university supervisors, and cooperating teachers they thought had good dispositions. From the availability of university professors and list of names, the students compiled interviews with those who responded. The sample of interviewed experts for the classroom setting and field dispositions consisted of professors (N=9), students (N=5), principals (N=4), university supervisors (N=7), and one cooperating teacher.

Interviewees were asked to respond to the following dispositional prompts by discussing their interpretation of each statement. The interviewers recorded responses on tape and paper. Respondents were asked to imagine or picture a preservice teacher with whom they had interacted in the past to describe the disposition. They were asked to rate the degree to which each indicator is an important factor of disposition. The ratings were on a Likert scale of 1 to 5, with 1 meaning the indicator is not an important disposition of preservice candidates at all and a 5 meaning the indicator is an essential disposition. The disposition statements used in the interview are listed below:

Preservice candidates in the classroom (for professors and students only)

- 1. Demonstrates professionalism
- 2. Demonstrates a positive and enthusiastic attitude
- 3. Demonstrates effective oral communication skills
- 4. Demonstrates effective written communication skills
- 5. Exhibits an appreciation and value for diversity
- 6. Is prepared to teach and learn
- 7. Collaborates effectively with peers and professors/has rapport
- 8. Is a self directed learner/takes initiative
- 9. Exhibits the emotional intelligence to promote personal and educational goals/stability
- 10. Reflects on one's own reaching and learning
- 11. Exhibits empathy, compassion, and caring for peers and professors
- 12. Exhibits respect for peers and professors

Preservice candidates in field/clinical experiences (for cooperating teachers, university supervisors, cooperating teachers, professors and principals)

- 1. Demonstrates professionalism
- 2. Demonstrates a positive and enthusiastic attitude
- 3. Demonstrates effective oral communication skills
- 4. Demonstrates effective written communication skills
- 5. Exhibits an appreciation and value for diversity
- 6. Is prepared to teach and learn
- 7. Collaborates effectively with peers, supervisors, parents, and students/has rapport
- 8. Is a self directed learner/takes initiative
- 9. Exhibits the emotional intelligence to promote personal and educational goals/stability
- 10. Reflects on one's own teaching and learning
- 11. Exhibits empathy, compassion, and caring for peers, supervisors, parents, and students
- 12. Exhibits respect for peers, supervisors, parents, and students
- 13. Focuses in individual student needs
- 14. Demonstrates appropriate professional appearance
- 15. Demonstrates responsiveness to feedback from supervisors
- 16. Accurately reads non-verbal student behavior
- 17.

Disposition Questionnaire Findings

Comments from the respondents were examined and summarized for each of the disposition statements. The indicators identified through the research include:

Demonstrates Professionalism - Answers questions when asked, exhibits regular attendance, dresses professionally for presentations, prepares for change, listens quietly while others are speaking, welcomes new ideas, portrays university positively to others, prompt in turning in work

Demonstrates a positive and enthusiastic attitude - Embraces hard work, does not have a flattened/bored affect, gets things done in spite of hardships, initiates interaction when needed, is intrinsically motivated to succeed, does not whine or excessively complain, takes pride in his/her work, shows interest in class discussions/issues

Demonstrates effective oral communications skills - Attempts to speak well in front of class, uses Standard English, has good use of tone and pitch, presents effectively, justifies ideas with research/experience, shows leadership in group work, refrains from profanity, uses a broad vocabulary, uses receptive communication/is interactive, can co-switch to academic register Demonstrates effective written communication skills - Creates and defends arguments with reason, shares a perspective persuasively, formats papers in correct APA style, uses correct spelling, uses Standard English and grammar, begins emails with greeting, employs both formal and informal writing styles, adheres to assignment guidelines

Exhibits an appreciation and value for diversity - Approaches diversity with a positive attitude, embraces all differences, does not use racial stereotypes, does not engage in tokenism, interacts in a friendly manner with the majority of peers in the classroom, seeks to grow through knowledge, remains open to differing persons and opinions, does not demean others. Is prepared to learn - Participates actively, asks and answers questions during class that demonstrate authentic interest, engages in group discussions, listens well, arrives to class coherent and focused, comes to class with needed materials, welcomes new subjects, demonstrates readiness and maturity in learning exchange, works independently as required Collaborates effectively with peers and professors - Negotiates respectfully, accepts and acts on constructive criticism, engages in friendly dialogue, demonstrates a positive reaction to group work, understand hierarchy between faculty and students

Is a self-regulated learner - Takes initiative to find solutions and solve problems, improvises when needed, asks questions proactively, does not blame the professor for poor work, helps facilitate less motivated or struggling group members, goes above and beyond minimum requirements, appears to be intrinsically motivated, works and handles responsibilities independently, wants to take the time to understand concepts

Exhibits the emotional intelligence to promote goals - Demonstrates appropriate self regulation when discussing sensitive issues, does not bring personal problems to class, does not over react to criticism, sensitive to the feelings of others, manages high-demand tasks well, manages multiple tasks well, does not always appear too busy or stressed

Reflects on one's own learning - Tries to improve, identifies areas for growth, solicits feedback, uses grades to try to improve skills

Exhibits respect for peers and professors - Refrains from derogatory verbiage, uses diplomacy, criticizes professors or peers constructively, addresses people appropriately, discusses disagreements with professor outside of the classroom, keeps appointments, does not

use electronic devises of any type in/during class, attempts to know names of peers and professors, respectfully disagrees by defending perspective with logic and calm. The authors created two (2) Disposition Assessment Instruments (Appendix A and B), one appropriate for assessing student dispositions in a university classroom setting, the other for assessing candidate dispositions in the final internship experience.

How will the Dispositions Assessment Instrument be used in the Teacher Preparation Program?

Disposition Assessment: In the University Classroom

Education majors' dispositions are rated three times by education faculty during their studies. The first assessment occurs at the beginning of their program (freshmen year), the second occurs the semester after being formally admitted into the program (junior year) and the third takes place the first semester of the senior year prior to the final internship.

Faculty teaching the second semester education coursework to freshmen will be the first to complete the Disposition Assessment instrument. Around mid-semester, these faculty will meet with the Chair and the Director of Program Review in a Professional Learning Community (PLC) setting to review results of the assessment. The purpose of the PLC is to facilitate faculty communication regarding student demonstration of appropriate dispositions and academic abilities as they apply to expectations of future teachers. Students who fail to possess appropriate dispositions based on the score earned on the Disposition Assessment tool are referred to the department's Admission, Retention, and Dismissal Subcommittee (ARD) for review. Disposition assessment will occur again near the middle of the first semester of the junior year once students have been accepted into the Teacher Education Program. One reason for this second assessment is for faculty to be able to remediate students with poor dispositions before they are further into the program. Faculty who teach the educations courses in the first semester of the junior year will complete the assessment on each candidate and will meet with the Chair and the Director of Program Review in a Professional Learning Community (PLC) setting to review results of the assessment. Candidates who fail to possess appropriate dispositions based on the score earned on the Disposition Assessment tool are referred to the department's Admission, Retention, and Dismissal Subcommittee (ARD) for review.

The final disposition assessment occurs midterm during of the first semester of the senior year, prior to the final internship. Faculty who teach the educations courses in the first semester of the senior year will complete the assessment on each candidate and will meet with the Chair and the Director of Program Review in a Professional Learning Community (PLC) setting to review results of the assessment. Candidates who fail to possess appropriate dispositions based on the score earned on the Disposition Assessment tool are referred to the department's Admission, Retention, and Dismissal Subcommittee (ARD) for review.

Implementation of the Disposition Assessment

Faculty members teaching the courses students are enrolled in during the semesters in which they will be assessed distribute a copy of the Disposition Assessment form to the students and discuss the department's expectations for dispositional behavior. A copy of the Disposition Assessment form is included in the Department of Education Candidate Handbook: Undergraduate Program and is posted to the department's website for student access. Students

learn the results of the assessment will be discussed among departmental faculty in a Professional Learning Community (PLC) setting. They also learn that candidates who fail to receive satisfactory rating from their professors will face further actions. Candidate dispositions are rated on a Likert Scale ranging from 1 to 4. The ratings are as follows:

- 1 Unacceptable/Refer to ARD
- 2 Needs remediation conference with professor
- 3 Acceptable
- 4 Exemplary

The procedure for using the Disposition Assessment instrument to modify inappropriate dispositions includes the following:

Students who perform at the acceptable (3) or exemplary (4) level continue in the program. Students who earn a rating of two (2) are required to participate in a remediation conference with the course professor who rated the student. During the meeting the professor speaks with the student regarding the assessment and together they create a plan to modify or change behavior. A copy of this plan is placed in the student's departmental file, sent to the faculty advisor, and the ARD Subcommittee. Students who perform at an unacceptable level are referred directly to the Admission, Retention and Dismissal (ARD) Subcommittee. This student is called before the subcommittee and with the committee develops a written plan for improvement and behavior modification. The student will be rated again by the faculty member at the end of the semester. If the student does not change behavior, he/she comes again before the ARD Subcommittee and is counseled to consider withdrawing from the program. If, after the above steps have been followed and no apparent remediation or modification of behavior has occurred, the student is dismissed from the program.

Conclusion

The study has lead to the creation of two disposition assessment instruments to be used with pre-service teachers in the college classroom setting and in their field and internship experiences. The assessment has expanded previous efforts of assessing dispositions by providing a more thorough explanation of each indicator which should serve to enhance rater agreement. Decisions made based on the assessment should lack subjectivity due to the increased clarity and should possess some degree of stability between rater and those persons being rated. The instrument provides other institutions and teacher education programs in need of disposition assessment instruments with the ability to tailor a scale by accepting or rejecting descriptors provided for their own assessment use. It may be that different departments have variance in views of what behaviors are associated with each of the indicators.

Further research may include an analysis of the factor structure of the 16 indicators. There is surface evidence that suggests indicator overlap which could mean a more succinct assessment scale. "Reading non-verbal cues" and "Oral communication" are two separate indicators that would appear to be related. There is also a running concern that teachers reflect on their experiences beyond the single indicator of "Reflects on own teachings". There may be a way to reduce this to one factor. Reflection was identified as an indicator of "Prepared to teach" and "Self regulated learner" in addition to the single indicator.

The researchers made special efforts to separate pedagogy and disposition when examining indicators from other studies. The aim of this instrument is to assess dispositions and

not pedagogy. Some of the indicators included in the instruments may be construed as pedagogy. For example, "Is prepared to teach and learn" may be pedagogy and not disposition. Factor Analysis could help confirm the structure. The inter-rater reliability of the new instrument could be calculated and coefficients between scales with and without indicator explanations compared.

There is more work to be done in this area of teaching. Disposition assessment in the classroom and the field provide teacher educators with a more holistic profile of a given candidate. It is imperative that the assessment process of such an important aspect of teaching be done carefully and skillfully. The care will help to assure a more valid and reliable assessment of student disposition in the field.

References

- Borko, H. & Whitcomb, J. (2007). Apples and fishes: The debate over dispositions in teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, *58*, 359-364.
- Dee, J. & Henkin, A. (2002). Assessing dispositions toward cultural diversity among preservice teachers. *Urban Education*, *37*, 22-39.
- Interstate New Teachers Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC) (1992) *unit standards*. Retrieved May 22, 2010 from http://www.intasc.org/standard/unit-stds.htm
- McKitrick, S. (2007, April). Assessing 'ineffable' general education outcomes using the Delphi approach. Paper presented at the NCSU Assessment Symposium, Cary, NC.
- National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (2001). Professional standards for the accreditation of schools, colleges, and departments of education. Washington, DC: Author.
- Notar, C., Riley, G. & Taylor, P. (2009). Dispositions: Ability and assessment. *International Journal of Education*, 1, 2-14.
- Rike, C.J. & Sharp, L.K. (2008). Assessing preservice teachers' dispositions: A critical dimension of professional preparation. *Childhood Education*, 84, 150-155.
- Schulte, L., Edick, N., Edwards, S. & Mackiel, D. (2004). *The development and validation of the Teacher Dispositions Index*. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Education, University of Nebraska, Omaha, Nebraska.
- Stewart, P. & Davis, S. (2009). *Developing dispositions of preservice teachers through membership in professional organizations*. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Education, Arkansas State University Mountain Home. Mountain Home, Arkansas.
- Taylor, R.l. & Wasicsko, M.M. (2000, November). *The dispositions to teach*. Paper presented at SRATE, Kentucky.
- Wayda, V. & Lund, J. (2005). Assessing disposition: An unresolved challenge in teacher education. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation and Dance*, 76, 345-361.

Appendix A

Dispositions Assessment: University Classroom Setting

Candidate:_			Major:	
Evaluator:		Date:	Semester:	Year:
Directions:	Throughout the educational	experience at	the University of Tampa	a, the teacher candidate

Directions: Throughout the educational experience at the University of Tampa, the teacher candidate should demonstrate growth. Please rate the candidate on each aspect of disposition based on the following scale by circling the appropriate number in the cell:

- 1 Unacceptable/Refer to Admission, Retention, Dismissal Subcommittee (ARD)
- Needs remediation conference with professor
- 3 Acceptable
- 4 Exemplary

Please check any indicators listed under each aspect of disposition that need to be addressed if ratings are at the 1 or 2 level. The listed indicators provide reviewers with an operational definition of each disposition component.

at the 1 or 2 level. The listed indicators provided disposition component.	de reviewers with	an operational of	definition of ea	ıch
Dispositions and Associated Indicators	Unacceptable	Needs Remediation	Acceptable	Exemplary
	1	2	3	4
1. Demonstrates Professionalism	1	2	3	4
Answers questions when asked	Comments regar	ding strengths/are	as for growth:	
Exhibits regular attendance				
Dresses professionally for presentations				
Prepares for change				
Listens quietly while others are speaking				
Welcomes new ideas				
Portrays university positively to others				
Prompt in turning in work				
2. Demonstrates a positive and enthusiastic attitude	1	2	3	4
Embraces hard work	Comments regard	ding strengths/area	as for growth:	
Does not have a flattened/bored affect				
Gets things done in spite of hardships				
Initiates interaction when needed				
Is intrinsically motivated to succeed				
Does not whine or excessively complain				
Takes pride in his/her work				

Shows interest in class discussions/issues				
3. Demonstrates effective oral	1	2	3	4
communication skills				
Attempts to speak well in front of class	Comments regard	ding strengths/area	as for growth:	
Uses Standard English				
Has good use of tone and pitch				
Presents effectively				
Justifies ideas with research/experience				
Shows leadership in group work				
Refrains from profanity	_			
Uses a broad vocabulary				
Uses receptive communication/is interactive				
Can co-switch to academic register				
4. Demonstrates effective written communication skills	5 1	2	3	4
Creates and defends arguments with reason	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Shares a perspective persuasively				
Formats papers in correct APA style				
Uses correct spelling				
Uses Standard English and grammar				
Begins emails with greeting				
Employs both formal and informal writing styles				
Adheres to assignment guidelines				
5. Exhibits an appreciation and value for diversity	1	2	3	4
Approaches diversity with a positive attitude	Comments regard	ding strengths/area	as for growth:	
Embraces all differences				
Does not use racial stereotypes				

Does not engage in tokenism				
Interacts in a friendly manner with the majority of peers in the classroom				
Seeks to grow through knowledge				
Remains open to differing persons and				
opinions				
Does not demean others 6. Is prepared to learn	1	2	3	4
Participates actively	Comments regard	=	· ·	4
Asks and answers questions during class that demonstrate authentic interest				
Engages in group discussions				
Listens well				
Arrives to class coherent and focused				
Comes to class with needed materials				
Welcomes new subjects				
Demonstrates readiness and maturity in learning exchange				
Works independently as required				
7. Collaborates effectively with peers and professors	1	2	3	4
Negotiates respectfully	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Accepts and acts on constructive criticism				
Engages in friendly dialogue				
Demonstrates a positive reaction to group work				
Understand hierarchy between faculty and students				
8. Is a self regulated learner	1	2	3	4
Takes initiative to find solutions and solve problems	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	s for growth:	
Improvises when needed				
Asks questions proactively				
Does not blame the professor for poor work				
Helps facilitate less motivated or struggling group members				
Goes above and beyond minimum requirements				

Appears to be intrinsically motivated				
Works and handles responsibilities independently				
Wants to take the time to understand concepts				
9. Exhibits the emotional intelligence to promote goals	1	2	3	4
Demonstrates appropriate self regulation when discussing sensitive issues	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Does not bring personal problems to class				
Does not overreact to criticism				
Sensitive to the feelings of others				
Manages high-demand tasks well				
Manages multiple tasks well				
Does not always appear too busy or stressed				
10. Reflects on one's own learning	1	_ 2	3	4
Tries to improve Identifies areas for growth Solicits feedback	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Uses grades to try to improve skills			,	
11. Exhibits respect for peers and professors	1	2	3	4
Refrains from derogatory verbiage	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Uses diplomacy				
Criticizes professors or peers constructively				
Addresses people appropriately				
Discusses disagreements with professor outside of the classroom				
Keeps appointments				
Does not use electronic devises of any type in/during class				
Attempts to know names of peers and professors				
Respectfully disagrees by defending perspective with logic and calm				

Please add any additional comments relevant to the student dispositional assessment. Thank you.

This completed form is to be placed in the candidate's departmental file.

school

Prompt in turning in work

Careful about conversations outside of the



The University of Tampa College of Social Sciences, Mathematics and Education Department of Education

Dispositions Assessment: In Field Setting

Candidate:			wajor:			
			Semester:			
Directions : Throughout the educational exp	perience at	the U	niversity of	Tampa,	the teach	ner candidate
should demonstrate growth. Please rate the ca		each a	spect of disp	position b	based on t	the following
scale by circling the appropriate number in the						
1 Unacceptable/Refer to Admission, R		ismissa	ıl Subcommi	ittee (AR	D)	
2 Needs remediation conference with p	professor					
3 Acceptable						
4 Exemplary	Z					_
Please check any indicators listed under each						
at the 1 or 2 level. The listed indicators provi	de reviewe	rs with	an operation	nal defini	tion of ea	ıch
disposition component.		1		1.		
Dispositions and Associated Indicators	Unaccep	otable	Needs		eptable	Exemplary
			Remediati	on	2	4
	1		2		3	4
_						
12. Demonstrates Professionalism	1		2		3	4
12. Demonstrates i l'oressionansm						
Responds to supervisor/cooperating teacher	Commen	its regar	ding strengths	s/areas for	growth:	
emails promptly						
1 1 7						
Exhibits regular punctuality and attendance						
including open houses and faculty meetings						
Maintains professional boundaries with						
students						
Keeps personal life at home						
Is seen as a team player						
Adapts to the SES contextual factors of the						

classroom				
13. Demonstrates a positive and enthusiastic attitude	1	2	3	4
Goes above and beyond requirements	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Does not have a flattened/bored affect with students				
Seeks solutions to problems instead of complaining				
Encourages students				
Has high expectations for all students				
Models desired behaviors				
Willing to try new things that are suggested				
Openly and actively engaged with students				
Shows up smiling and happy to be at school				
14. Demonstrates effective oral	1	2	3	4
communication skills				
Can use language confidently to express themselves	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Models Standard English		7		
Varies their oral communication to excite students				
Projects voice in a clear and effective tone and does not mumble				
Says appropriate things in the classroom				
Communicates at an appropriate student level				
Facilitates communication among all students				
Speaks well spontaneously				
15. Demonstrates effective written	1	2	3	4
communication skills				
Communicates with parents and cooperating teachers respectfully	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Demonstrates good writing strategies to include spelling and grammar				

	1			
Positively focuses all written communications				
Proofreads all written communications				
Demonstrates sensitivity to student needs when writing on the board				
Employs both formal and informal writing styles				
16. Exhibits an appreciation and value for diversity	1	2	3	4
Demonstrates awareness of traditional and non-traditional family contexts including family status	Comments rega	arding strengths/are	as for growth:	
Embraces all diversities/differences to include racial, SES, and learning styles				
Creates a "safe classroom" with zero tolerance of negativity to other cultures				
Differentiates instruction based on learners' needs				
Incorporates lessons that target diversity acceptance				
Knows students' learning styles and backgrounds and possible impact to purchase materials				
Plans activities to raise student awareness		7		
Understands the importance of a positive school experience				
17. Is prepared to teach and learn	1	2	3	4
Accepts constructive criticism	Comments rega	arding strengths/are	as for growth:	
Adjusts teaching accordingly after constructive criticism				
Draws form a variety of sources				
Demonstrates knowledge of national standards				
Learns and adjusts from experience and reflection				
Comes to class planned and with needed materials				
Reflects on own experience				
Sees the value of new learning				

Appears to be striving to do one's best				
18. Collaborates effectively with peers, supervisors, parents and students	1	2	3	4
Asks parents to work with them and not tell them to	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Demonstrates harmonious interactions but not always conforming				
Knows how to work with and read people				
Navigates through human emotions				
Coordinates regularly with peer teachers				
Willing to share successful teaching strategies				
Uses all avenues to communicate classroom interactions				
19. Is a self regulated learner/takes initiative	1	2	3	4
Is able to recognize own weaknesses and asks for support	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Can interpret and use information				
Asks questions proactively and does not need to be told everything				
Researches different and most effective teaching styles		7		
Takes responsibility for knowing students				
Willing to take risks				
20. Exhibits the emotional intelligence to promote personal and educational goals/stability	1	2	3	4
Does not require excessive hand holding	Comments regard	ling strengths/area	as for growth:	
Demonstrates appropriate maturity and self regulation when discussing sensitive issues and can remain calm				
Does not bring personal problems to class				
Does not over react to criticism or other situations				
Perseveres				
Demonstrates the ability to let cooperating				

teachers be aware of personal issues but do not use them as excuses				
21. Reflects on one's own teaching and learning	1	2	3	4
Reflects after every lesson	Comments regard	ding strengths/are	as for growth:	
Reviews student data and modifies lessons and teaching strategies based on that data				
Alters lessons in progress when needed				
22. Exhibits respect for peers, supervisors, parents and students	1	2	3	4
Disagrees in a professional way	Comments regard	ding strengths/area	as for growth:	
Uses flexibility				
Does not enter a classroom too assertively				
Listens to what students and parents are saying				
Maintains a respectful tone at all times				
Does not use profanity				
Does not exhibit a sense of entitlement				
23. Demonstrates Professional Appearance				
Adheres to UT intern/practicum dress code	Comments regard	ding strengths/are	as for growth:	
Does not show any visible tattoos				
Does not show too much skin		7		
Does not have a distracting hair color				
Removes piercings other than in ears				

Please add any additional comments relevant to the student dispositional assessment. Thank you.

This completed form is to be placed in the candidate's departmental file.